pyspeckit: A spectroscopic analysis and plotting package

[Adam Ginsburg,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6431-9633) Vlas Sokolov, Miguel de Val-Borro, Allison Youngblood, Erik Rosolowsky, [Jaime E. Pineda,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3972-1978) and [Brigitta M. Sipocz](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3713-6337)

ABSTRACT

pyspeckit is a tool and library for spectroscopic analysis in Python. We describe the pyspeckit package and highlight some of its unique capabilities, such as interactively fitting a model to data, akin to the widely-used splot function of IRAF. pyspeckit employs the Levenberg-Marquardt optimization method via the mpfit and lmfit implementations, and important assumptions regarding error estimation are described here. Wrappers for pymc and emcee are available, as well as a method to fit lines in spectral cubes. As part of the astropy affiliated packages, pyspeckit is open source and welcomes input and collaboration from the community.

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Spectroscopy is an important tool for astronomy. Spectra are represented as the number of photons, or total energy in photons, arriving over a specified wavelength (or equivalently, frequency or energy) range. Emission and absorption lines due to ions, atoms, and molecules bear important information via their intensity, width, and velocity centroid. These parameters are typically measured from model fits to the data, such as Gaussian, Lorentzian, and Voigt profiles. Historically, IRAF has provided the astronomy community with easyto-use tools for line fitting, but IRAF development has mostly ceased in the last several years and is currently only supported in Python 2.7 by AstroConda^{[1](#page-0-0)}. The lack of an equivalent available tool in Python prompted the creation of pyspeckit.

pyspeckit development began in 2009 with a script called 'showspec' in the agpy package hosted on Google Code. It was created and used by a graduate student to plot and sometimes fit profiles to spectra in python. At the time, IDL was still more popular than python at most institutes [\(Momcheva & Tollerud 2015,](#page-4-0) the first evidence that python had overtaken IDL in popularity among astronomers was presented in), and there were no publicly available and advertised tools for spectral plotting, fitting, and general manipulation (astropysics, [Tollerud 2012,](#page-4-1) was developed contemporaneously and solved many of the same problems as pyspeckit). The astropy package (??) had its first commit in 2011, so even the basic infrastructure for such analysis was not yet established.

pyspeckit's graphical user interface (GUI) features were inspired by IRAF's splot tool, while the fitting features were inspired in part by xspec [\(https://heasarc.](https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/) [gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/\)](https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/). Over subsequent years, pyspeckit grew by incorporating more sophisticated models and improving its internal structure. The package was moved out of agpy and into its own repository in 2011, first spending a few years on Bitbucket in a mercurial repository, then finally moved to GitHub, where it currenly resides, in 2012.

Because pyspeckit's initial development preceded astropy, some features were included that later became redundant with astropy. Most notably, pyspeckit included a limited system for spectroscopic unit conversion. In 2015, this system was completely replaced with astropy's unit system. Around the same time, the Doppler conversion tools (converting from frequency or wavelength to velocity) that existed in pyspeckit were pushed upstream into astropy, highlighting the mutually beneficial role of astropy's affiliated packaged system (?). pyspeckit became an astropy affiliated package in 2017.

In this paper we briefly outline pyspeckit architecture and highlight its key capabilities. In Section [2,](#page-0-1) we outline the structure of the package. In Section [3,](#page-3-0) we describe the GUI system. In Sections [4](#page-3-1) and [5,](#page-3-2) we outline pyspeckit's cube handling capabilities and model library.

2. PACKAGE STRUCTURE

The central object in pyspeckit is a Spectrum, which has associated data (e.g., flux), error, and xarr (e.g., wavelength, frequency, energy), the latter of which represents the spectroscopic axis. A Spectrum object has several attributes that are themselves sophisticated

¹ http://astroconda.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html, The PyRAF command language [\(https://github.com/spacetelescope/](https://github.com/spacetelescope/pyraf) [pyraf\)](https://github.com/spacetelescope/pyraf) supports both Python 2 and Python 3 and is still maintained

classes that can be called as functions: the plotter, the fitter specfit, and the continuum fitter baseline^{[2](#page-1-0)}.

There are several subclasses of Spectrum: Spectra is a collection of spectra intended to be stitched together (i.e., with non-overlapping spectral axes), ObsBlock is a collection of spectra with identical spectral axes intended to be operated on as a group, e.g., to take an average spectrum, and Cube is a 3D spatial-spatial-spectral cube.

2.1. Supported data formats

pyspeckit supports a variety of open and proprietary data formats that have been traditionally used to store spectral data products in astronomy. It is always possible to create a Spectrum object from numpy arrays representing the wavelength, flux, and error of the spectrum, but the supported file formats listed below make the reading process easier.

- ASCII: Text files with wavelength, flux, and optional error columns can be read using the astropy.io.ascii module.
- FITS: The Flexible Image Transport System (FITS; [Wells et al. 1981;](#page-4-2) [Greisen et al. 2006;](#page-4-3) [Pence](#page-4-4) [et al. 2010\)](#page-4-4) format is supported in pyspeckit with astropy.io.fits. FITS spectra are expected to have their spectral axis defined using the WCS keywords in the FITS header. FITS binary tables with the same wavelength, flux, and optional error column layout as text files can also be read.
- SDFITS: Data files following the Single Dish FITS (SDFITS; [Garwood 2000\)](#page-4-5) convention for radio astronomy data as produced by the Green Bank Telescope are partially supported in pyspeckit.
- HDF5: If the h5py package is installed, pyspeckit will support read access to files containing spectra in the HDF5 format, where the data columns can be specified using keyword arguments.
- CLASS: pyspeckit is capable of reading files from some versions of the GILDAS Continuum and Line Analysis Single-dish Software format (CLASS; [Gildas Team 2013\)](#page-4-6). The CLASS reader is known to be compatible with data files from the

Arizona Radio Observatory telescopes (12-m and 10-m Submillimeter Telescope) and the Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX) radio telescope.

2.2. Plotter

The plotter is a basic plot tool that comprises pyspeckit's main graphical user interface. It is described in more detail in the GUI section (§[3\)](#page-3-0).

2.3. Fitter

The fitting tool in pyspeckit is the Spectrum. specfit object. This object is a class that is created for every Spectrum object. The fitter can be used with any of the models included in the model registry, or a custom model can be created and registered.

To fit a profile to a spectrum, several optimizers are available. Two implementations of the Levenberg-Marquardt optimization method [\(Levenberg 1944;](#page-4-7) [Mar](#page-4-8)[quardt 1963\)](#page-4-8) are provided, $mpfit³$ $mpfit³$ $mpfit³$ and $lmfit⁴$ $lmfit⁴$ $lmfit⁴$. Wrappers of $pymc⁵$ $pymc⁵$ $pymc⁵$ and $emce⁶$ $emce⁶$ $emce⁶$ are also available, though these tools are better for parameter error analysis than for optimization.

Once a fit is performed, the results of the fit are accessible through the parinfo object, which is a dictionarylike structure containing the parameter values, errors, and other metadata (e.g., information about whether the parameter is fixed, tied to another parameter, or limited). Other information about the fit, such as the χ^2 value, are available as attributes of the specfit object.

Optimal χ^2 —Specfit computes the 'optimal' χ^2 , which is the χ^2 value computed only over the range where the model contains statistically significant signal. This measurement is intended to provide a more accurate estimate of the χ^2 value by excluding pixels that are not described by the model. By default, the function selects all pixels where the model value is greater (in absolute value) than the corresponding error. In principle, this optimal χ^2 may be helpful for obtaining correctly scaled errors (see Section [2.6.2\)](#page-3-3), though this claim has never been rigorously tested.

2.4. Data Selection

³ Originally implemented by Craig Markwardt [https://www.](https://www.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/fitqa.html) physics.wisc.edu/∼[craigm/idl/fitqa.html](https://www.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/fitqa.html) and ported to python by Mark Rivers and then Sergei Koposov. The version in pyspeckit has been updated somewhat from Koposov's version.

- ⁵ <https://pymc-devs.github.io/pymc/>
- ⁶ [http://dfm.io/emcee/current/,](http://dfm.io/emcee/current/) [Foreman-Mackey et al.](#page-4-9) [\(2013\)](#page-4-9)

² It is common in radio astronomy to have wide instrumental residual features in the data that need to be fitted and removed; this process is called 'baseline subtraction'. In other wavelength regimes, this would typically be referred to as continuum fitting or continuum subtraction. In practical algorithmic terms, fitting a true astrophysical continuum and a residual instrumental baseline are indistinguishable.

⁴ [https://lmfit.github.io/lmfit-py/,](https://lmfit.github.io/lmfit-py/) [http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/](http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11813) [zenodo.11813](http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11813)

An important feature of the spectral fitter is the ability to select the region of the spectrum to be fit. This selection process can either be done manually, using the selectregion method to set one or more ranges of data to include in the fit, or interactively using the GUI. The selected regions are then highlighted in the plot window if one is open.

2.5. Continuum Fitting

The fitting process in pyspeckit is capable of treating line and continuum independently or jointly. If a model includes continuum, e.g., for the case of a four-parameter Gaussian profile that includes an additive constant, it can be fitted through the standard specfit fitter.

However, it is common practice to fit the continuum independently prior to fitting lines. Such practice is necessary when fitting absorption lines and practically necessary for heterodyne radio observations where the continuum is usually poorly measured and corrupted by instrumental effects. Following radio convention, the pyspeckit continuum fitting tool is called baseline. This module supports polynomial, spline, and powerlaw fitting.

2.6. Error Treatment

The Spectrum objects used by pyspeckit have an attached error array, which is meant to hold the 1σ independent Gaussian errors on each pixel. While this error representation may be a dramatic oversimplification of the true errors for almost all instruments (since it ignores correlations between pixels), it is also the most commonly used assumption in astronomical applications.

The error array is used to determine the best-fit parameters and their uncertainties (see §[2.3\)](#page-1-5). They can be displayed as error bars on individual pixels or as shaded regions around those pixels using different display modes.

A typical example is given below, where we generate a spectrum and error array using numpy and astropy tools.

```
from astropy import units as u
import numpy as np
import pyspeckit
xaxis = np.linspace(-25, 25)*u.km/u.ssigna\_width = 3.0*u.km/u.sdata = 5*np.exp(-xaxis**2 /(2*sigma_width**2))*u.Jy
error = np.ones\_like(data) * 0.2sp = pyspeckit.Spectrum(xarr=xaxis,
                        data=data,
                        error=error)
```
sp.plotter(errstyle='fill')

sp.plotter.savefig("example_fig_1.pdf")

Figure 1. An example plotted spectrum showing the automated unit labeling and errors. The errors are shown with the 'fill' style and represent symmetric $1 - \sigma$ Gaussian errors.

2.6.1. Automatic Error Estimation

In the case where there are portions of the spectrum that have no signal peaks, a common approach in spectroscopy is to estimate the errors from the standard deviation of those signal-free pixels. This approach assumes the noise is constant across the spectrum. If all of the peaks present in the spectrum are fitted well by the model, the standard deviation of the residual spectrum from the model fit will accurately represent the uniform errors. If a fit is performed with uninitialized errors, pyspeckit will automatically replace the errors with the standard deviation of the residuals; this means that performing a fit on the same data (without associated errors) twice will result in the same parameter values both times, but different errors the second time.

2.6.2. Parameter error estimation

Parameter errors are adopted from the mpfit or lmfit fit results. The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm finds a local minimum in parameter space, and one of its returns is the parameter covariance matrix. This covariance matrix is not directly the covariance of the parameters, and must be rescaled to deliver an approximate error.

The standard rescaling is to multiply the covariance by the sum of the squared errors divided by the degree of freedom of the fit, usually referred to as χ^2/N . The number of degrees of freedom is assumed to be equal to the number of free parameters, e.g., for a onedimensional Gaussian, there would be three: the amplitude, width, and center. This approach implicitly assumes that the model describes the data well and is an optimal fit. It also assumes that the model is linear with all of the parameters, at least in the region immediately surrounding the optimal fit. These requirements are frequently not satisfied; see [Andrae et al.](#page-4-10) [\(2010\)](#page-4-10) and [Andrae](#page-4-11) [\(2010\)](#page-4-11) for details. We show a demonstration of this approximation process in Appendix [A](#page-5-0) for the case of a simple Gaussian line profile.

3. GRAPHICAL DESIGN

3.1. GUI development

Many astronomers are familiar with IRAF's splot tool, which is useful for fitting Gaussian profiles to spectral lines. It uses keyboard interaction to specify the fitting region and guesses for fitting the line profile, but for most use cases, these parameters could only be accessed through the GUI.

The fitting GUI in pyspeckit was built to match splot's functionality but with additional means of interacting with the fitter. In splot, reproducing any given fit is challenging, since subtle changes in the cursor position (i.e., the input guess) can significantly change the fit result. In pyspeckit, it is possible to record the results of fits programmatically and re-fit using those same results.

The GUI was built using matplotlib's canvas interaction tools. These tools are limited to the GUI capabilities that are compatible with all platforms (e.g., Qt, Tk, Gtk) and therefore exclude some of the more sophisticated fitting tools found in other software (e.g., glue; [Beaumont et al. 2014\)](#page-4-12).

An example walking through typical interactive GUI usage is in the online documentation at <interactive.html> in the online documentation.

3.2. Plotting

Plotting in pyspeckit is designed to provide a short path to publication-quality figures. The default plotting mode uses histogram-style line plots and labels axes with L^ATEX-formatted versions of units.

When the plotter is active and a model is fit, the model parameters are displayed with L^ATEX formatting in the plot legend. The errors on the parameters, if available, are also shown, and these uncertainties are used to decide on the number of significant figures to display.

4. MODELS

Many of pyspeckit's internal functions are likely to replaced by the astropy specutils package in the future. However, the rich suite modeling in pyspeckit is likely to remain useful indefinitely. This model library includes some of the most useful general spectral model functions (e.g., Gaussian, Lorentzian, and Voigt profiles) and a wide range of specific model types (e.g., ammonia and formaldehyde hyperfine models, the H_2 rotational ladder, and recombination line models).

The base Model class and fitting framework in pyspeckit provide some generally useful features that do not need to be re-implemented. Any model is generalized to a multi-component form automatically; e.g., the Gaussian model only describes a single Gaussian spectral component, but the fitting tools allow any number of independent Gaussians to be fit.

Models are customizable and examples of registering a new or modified model in pyspeckit are included in the online documentation.

TODO: ADD MODEL TABLE, maybe add demo of custom model? That might be in the docs already... If this is the beefiest part of pyspeckit, we should include a table listing the models and potentially an example for how to customize a model? (Author note: I (JEP) agree, it would be really useful to include the list of models already included, and which ones could be used as templates for different types.)

Hyperfine Line Models —In radio and millimeter spectroscopy, there are several molecular line groups that consist of several Gaussian profiles separated by a fixed frequency offset. These hyperfine line groups are often unique probes of physical parameters because these features have different, known relative optical depths. In this case, the measured relative amplitudes of these different features allow the optical depth (and, in turn, the column density) to be measured from a single spectrum. pyspeckit provides the hyperfine model class to handle this class of molecular line transitions, and it includes several molecular species implementations (HCN, N_2H^+ , NH₃, H₂CO).

Spectral cubes are growing more important in radio astronomy since they are the natural data products produced by interferometers like ALMA and the JVLA. Optical and infrared data cubes are also becoming more common from integral field units (IFUs) like MUSE on the VLT, OSIRIS on Keck, NIFS on Gemini, and NIR-Spec and MIRI on JWST.

TODO: mention something about mapplot and the general cube loading tools.

While many cube operations are handled well by $\texttt{number-based packages}$ like $\texttt{spectral-cube}^7,$ $\texttt{spectral-cube}^7,$ $\texttt{spectral-cube}^7,$ it is sometimes desirable to fit a profile to each spectrum in a cube. The Cube.fiteach method is a tool for automated line fitting that includes parallelization of the fit. Examples can be found in the online documentation. This tool has seen significant use in custom made survey pipelines, (e.g. ?, [https://github.com/](https://github.com/GBTAmmoniaSurvey/GAS) [GBTAmmoniaSurvey/GAS\)](https://github.com/GBTAmmoniaSurvey/GAS), and it has been incorporated into other tools (e.g., $multicube⁸$ $multicube⁸$ $multicube⁸$).

6. SUMMARY

pyspeckit is a versatile tool for spectroscopic analysis in python and is one of the astropy affiliated packages.

we have escribed the fitting and plotting tools provided in pyspeckit...

pyspeckit can interactively fit a model to a spectrum using the Levenberg-Marquardt optimization method via mpfit and lmfit, and wrappers for pymc and emcee are also available. There is also the option to fit a model to the many spectra in a spectral cube. We have described pyspeckit's methods of error estimation for spectra with and without user-provided errors. pyspeckit has a library of models including Gaussian, Lorentzian, Voigt, and others for specific molecular species; user-created models can also be used with pyspeckit. we have summarized the currently implemented models...

7. FINAL NOTE

This paper was collaboratively written using GitHub as a platform for discussion. Its version history and records of some discussions about its content can be found at [https://github.com/pyspeckit/paper1.](https://github.com/pyspeckit/paper1)

REFERENCES

Andrae, R. 2010, ArXiv e-prints

- Andrae, R., Schulze-Hartung, T., & Melchior, P. 2010, ArXiv e-prints
- Beaumont, C., Robitaille, T., & Borkin, M. 2014, Glue: Linked data visualizations across multiple files, Astrophysics Source Code Library
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, PASP, 125, 306, doi: [10.1086/670067](http://doi.org/10.1086/670067)
- Garwood, R. W. 2000, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 216, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems IX, ed. N. Manset, C. Veillet, & D. Crabtree, 243
- Gildas Team. 2013, GILDAS: Grenoble Image and Line Data Analysis Software, Astrophysics Source Code Library
- Greisen, E. W., Calabretta, M. R., Valdes, F. G., & Allen, S. L. 2006, A&A, 446, 747,
	- doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20053818](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20053818)
- Levenberg, K. 1944, Quarterly of Applied Mathematics, 2,
- 164, doi: [10.1090/qam/10666](http://doi.org/10.1090/qam/10666)
- Marquardt, D. W. 1963, Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 11, 431, doi: [10.1137/0111030](http://doi.org/10.1137/0111030)
- Momcheva, I., & Tollerud, E. 2015, ArXiv e-prints
- Pence, W. D., Chiappetti, L., Page, C. G., Shaw, R. A., & Stobie, E. 2010, A&A, 524, A42, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201015362](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201015362)
- Tollerud, E. 2012, Astropysics: Astrophysics utilities for python, Astrophysics Source Code Library
- Wells, D. C., Greisen, E. W., & Harten, R. H. 1981, A&AS, 44, 363

7 <spectral-cube.readthedocs.io>

⁸ <https://github.com/vlas-sokolov/multicube>

APPENDIX

A. PARAMETER ERROR ESTIMATION FOR A SIMPLE 1D GAUSSIAN PROFILE

As discussed in Section [2.6.2,](#page-3-3) parameter errors are estimated in pyspeckit by the underlying lmfit or mpfit tools using the approximation that the reduced chi-squared is unity, $\chi^2/n = 1$. We demonstrate here that, for a simple one-dimensional Gaussian profile, this approximation results in an excellent recovery of the underlying parameter errors.

In Figure [2,](#page-6-0) we show a synthetic spectrum with uniform Gaussian random noise and perfectly-measured uncorrelated data errors. The fitted model is a one-dimensional Gaussian profile with free parameters amplitude, center, and width. The fit results are given in the figure.

To produce a good error estimate under the $\chi^2/n = 1$ approximation, the error distribution must be Gaussian, the model must be linear in all parameters, and the model must be the correct underlying model [\(Andrae 2010\)](#page-4-11).

Figure [3](#page-7-0) shows the χ^2 values in parameter space surrounding the best-fit value. Along the diagonal, we show the χ^2 values for the individual parameters with all others marginalized over by taking the minimum χ^2 value over the explored parameter space. The vertical dashed lines show the estimated 1σ errors reported by the mpfit optimizer, while the horizontal dashed lines show the value $\Delta \chi^2 = 1$, which corresponds to the 68% confidence interval for that parameter. If the $\chi^2/n = 1$ approximation is perfect, the dashed lines should intersect with the intersection point between the dashed lines, and in this case, they do for all parameters.

Off of the diagonal of Figure [3,](#page-7-0) we show the two-dimensional marginal distributions. Contours are shown at $\Delta \chi^2 =$ 1, 2.3, 6.2, 11.8, corresponding to 39.3%, 68%, 95%, and 99.5% (1σ when projected to a single parameter, 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ for two parameters, respectively, assuming normal distribution) confidence regions. The vertical and horizontal dashed lines show the estimates from the $\chi^2/n = 1$ approximation for a *single* parameter; these are expected to intersect the innermost 1σ contour for a single parameter. The shift vs amplitude and shift vs width diagrams are both well-behaved, with error contours tracing out a symmetric distribution centered on the true parameters marked with an 'x'. However, the width vs amplitude plot indicates that the single-parameter errorbars underestimate the true errors because these parameters are significantly correlated. This information is captured in the covariance matrix that is used to compute the single-parameter errors, as it has significant values in the off-diagonal parts of the matrix.

The source code for this example can be found in the pyspeckit github repository in examples/synthetic_spectrum_example_wither

B. PARAMETER ERROR ESTIMATION FOR AMMONIA

In Section [A,](#page-5-0) we showed the parameter estimation results in the case of a modeled 1-dimensional Gaussian. One of the most commonly used models in pyspeckit is the ammonia (NH3) hyperfine model, which has several additional emission lines and several parameters governing those lines.

The ammonia inversion transitions are notable for having spectrally resolved hyperfine components, the relative weights of which are governed by quantum mechanics (?). The existence of these additional components often allows for direct estimates of the optical depth of the central line, which is optically thicker than the other components, thereby making column density estimates straightforward compared to other molecular species.

The model for these lines is more complicated than that for a single Gaussian. The model must include a simplified version of the radiative transfer equation and must simultaneously produce the predicted emission of several lines. Additionally, there are several approximations that are convenient to use in different circumstances, so pyspeckit implements several different variants of the $NH₃$ model.

In this section, we show parameter estimates analogous to those in Section [A.](#page-5-0) We examine a case where the fitted lines are in local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE), such that the ratios of the $(J, K) = (1, 1)$ to $(2, 2)$ line is governed by the rotational temperature T_R but the individual lines both have $T_{ex} = T_R$.

The free parameters in the ammonia model are the rotational temperature, $T_{\rm R}$, which governs the relative populations of the rotational states, the excitation temperature T_{ex} , which governs the relative populations of the two levels within a single inversion transition, the column density, $N(NH_3)$, which specifies the total column density of NH_3 integrated over all states (note that this parameter enters the model as 10^N , i.e., we optimize the log of the column density), the line-of-sight velocity v_{LoS} , the line width σ_v , and the ortho-to-para ratio parameterized as the fraction of ortho-NH₃ F_{ortho} . In the examples below, we fix $F_{ortho} = 0$ and treat only para-NH₃ lines.

The fit results from the first case are shown in Figures [4](#page-8-0) and [5.](#page-9-0) The fit recovers the input parameters, but reveals one of the important caveats when using any optimization algorithm: in some models, parameters are degenerate, and

Figure 2. One-dimensional Gaussian profile fit to a synthetic spectrum. The parameter values and errors are shown in the upper right. The number of significant figures displayed in both the value and the error is automatically set to one digit more than the last significant digit in the error.

therefore using the diagonal of the covariance matrix to estimate the variance can result in incorrect error estimates. While the errors on most parameters appear reasonable, there is a very large error on the excitation temperature $T_{\rm ex}$, which is driven by the degeneracy of T_{ex} with N_{tot} . The asymmetry of the error on T_{ex} is apparent in Figure [5,](#page-9-0) but it is not captured by the optimizer's reported error results; the asymmetry occurs because T_{ex} is in the exponent in the model equations.

In such situations, it can be beneficial to measure the parameter errors in different ways. Using the emcee and pymc wrappers can help do this. Examples of how to use these Monte Carlo samplers to acquire better parameter errors once an optimization has already been performed are available in the online documentation: see [http://pyspeckit.](http://pyspeckit.readthedocs.io/en/latest/example_pymc.html) [readthedocs.io/en/latest/example](http://pyspeckit.readthedocs.io/en/latest/example_pymc.html) pymc.html.

More sophisticated examples, including fitting of a non-LTE ammonia spectrum in which $T_{\text{ex}} < T_R$, are available in the example directory of pyspeckit [\(https://github.com/pyspeckit/pyspeckit/tree/master/examples\)](https://github.com/pyspeckit/pyspeckit/tree/master/examples), specifically [https://github.com/pyspeckit/pyspeckit/tree/master/examples/synthetic](https://github.com/pyspeckit/pyspeckit/tree/master/examples/synthetic_LTE_ammonia_spectrum_example_witherrorestimates.py) LTE ammonia spectrum example [witherrorestimates.py](https://github.com/pyspeckit/pyspeckit/tree/master/examples/synthetic_LTE_ammonia_spectrum_example_witherrorestimates.py) and [https://github.com/pyspeckit/pyspeckit/tree/master/examples/synthetic](https://github.com/pyspeckit/pyspeckit/tree/master/examples/synthetic_nLTE_ammonia_spectrum_example_witherrorestimates.py)_nLTE_ammonia_ spectrum_example_[witherrorestimates.py.](https://github.com/pyspeckit/pyspeckit/tree/master/examples/synthetic_nLTE_ammonia_spectrum_example_witherrorestimates.py)

These examples also include demonstrations of how to force the optimizer to ignore nonphysical values while still obtaining useful constraints on the free parameters. Constrained fitting approaches can be helpful in cases like the ammonia fit, where high values of T_{ex} where $T_{ex} > T_{rot}$ are statistically likely given the model, but physically disallowed; constrained fitting allows the known physical limits to rule out bad portions of parameter space.

Figure 3. Error estimate figure. In all panels, the vertical dashed lines show the estimated 1σ errors from the optimizer, while the horizontal dashed lines show the value $\Delta \chi^2 = 1$, which corresponds to the 68% confidence interval for that parameter. In the off-diagonal panels, contours are shown at $\Delta\chi^2 = 1, 2.3, 6.2, 11.8$, corresponding to 39.3%, 68%, 95%, and 99.5% (1 σ for a single parameter, then 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ for two parameters) confidence regions. See [A](#page-5-0)ppendix A for details and interpretation.

C. COMPARISON OF N_2H^+ (1-0) RESULTS WITH CLASS

One of the most frequently used line transitions for the study of dense gas kinematics is N_2H^+ (1-0) at 93.17 GHz. The transition displays several hyperfine components with well determined relative frequencies and weights. The standard approach for analyzing this line has been to use the HFS mode within CLASS. Here we show that using the N_2H^+ hyperfine model in pyspeckit, we obtain the same results in both optically thin and thick models.

The main difference between the CLASS and pyspeckit parametrization is that the former does not report excitation temperature (T_{ex}) , but the area of the line profile. The reported area is $\tau \times T_{ant}$, where

$$
T_{ant} = J(T_{ex}) - J(T_{background}), \qquad (C1)
$$

where

$$
J_{\nu}(T) = \frac{h\,\nu}{k_B} \frac{1}{(e^{h\,\nu/k_B\,T} - 1)}\,. \tag{C2}
$$

We derive the equivalent T_{ex} from the reported line fit parameters. Moreover, in the optically thin case both fits are performed using the common assumption of $\tau = 0.1$ as a fixed parameter.

Tables [C](#page-7-1) and [1](#page-8-1) show the results of fitting an example spectrum in both CLASS and pyspeckit. The resulting fits differ by $\lt 1\%$ in most parameters, with a slightly greater discrepancy in the velocity centroid but consistent within the reported fit uncertainties.

Figure 4. Ammonia model profile fit to a synthetic spectrum. The parameter values and errors are shown in the upper right. The associated error estimate triangle diagram is shown in Figure [5.](#page-9-0) The correct parameters are $T_R = T_{ex} = 35$, $N = 15$, $\sigma_v = 2$, and $v = 0$, all of which are reasonably recovered. However, note that $T_{ex} > T_R$ is generally nonphysical, yet the allowed parameter space for T_{ex} includes such values. This two-panel plot was produced automatically using the pyspeckit.wrappers.fitnh3.plot nh3 command.

Parameter	Input value	pyspeckit fit	CLASS fit
T_{ex}	9.0	3.454 ± 0.014	3.451
V_c	0.0	0.0016	$0.0028 + 0.0068$
σ_v	0.3	0.2942 ± 0.0062	0.2930 ± 0.0060
τ_{all}	0.01	0.1	0.1
Area			0.0607 ± 0.0012

Table 1. Best fit parameters in optically thin model (3 parameters)

Figure 5. Error estimate figure for the default NH³ model. The panels are labeled as in Figure [3.](#page-7-0) The centroid velocity panel is excluded from this plot because it is independent of the other parameters, exhibiting no degeneracy. The most relevant panel is the ntot0 vs tex0 panel, which plots the column N against the excitation temperature T_{ex} : both of these parameters govern the peak amplitude of the spectrum, so they are degenerate. The vertical and horizontal dashed lines represent the $\delta \chi^2 = 1$ and $\pm 1\sigma$ computed errors, respectively. Their intersection points also intersect the blue marginalized $\delta \chi^2$ curve in all of the displayed cases, indicating that the approximation of the errors using the $\chi^2/n = 1$ assumption is excellent. However, the asymmetry seen in the blue T_{ex} curve suggests that the approximation for that parameter is likely to break down in some cases because the true errors are asymmetric.

Parameter	Input value	pyspeckit fit	CLASS fit
T_{ex}	9.0	9.19 ± 0.19	9.1833
V.	0.0	0.00042	-0.000 ± 0.0063
σ_{η}	0.3	$0.3047 + 0.0062$	0.3041 ± 0.0063
τ_{all}	9.0	8.13 ± 0.59	8.10 ± 0.59
Area			49.0 ± 2.25

Table 2. Best fit parameters in optically thick model (4 parameters)